

WP4 2nd Governmental Board meeting

24th January 2019, Eurostation, Brussels, Belgium

Régine Kiasuwa Mbengi Marc van den Bulcke Laure Bakker



AGENDA



PART 1 10:00 14:00

state of play of the WP4 and WP5-10, Q&A

PART 2 14:00 17:00

discussion on the Roadmap: content, format and sustainability

Diner 19:00 – Aux armes de Bruxelles-

Departure at 18:20 from the lobby



Tour de table



Do not forget to sign the attendance list

Please fill the cell « diner » with YES or NO

WIFI access: WASO-ETCS-GUEST

User name: WIFIGUEST2019-1

Password: 1001)**)IOoi



WP4: objective



Main objective:

The development of the key deliverable:

« the Roadmap on Implementation and Sustainability of Cancer Control Actions

Results from the merge of different exercises and outputs:

- Outputs from iPAAC WP5 WP10 with a focus on implementation
- Output from EU MS Implementation experience of cancer control actions
- Other JAs: JARC, InFact, EuNetha, JA Health inequalities, ...


Main tasks of WP4:

- to map cancer control initiatives implemented in EU Member States and their underlying experience (Task I)
- to develop a framework (format) for the Roadmap and structure the inputs (Task II)
- to integrate iPAAC WP5-10 results about implementation advices/guidance in the Roadmap (Task III)



WP4: Work process







Task I: the country visits



= EU Member states "visits": in-depth and qualitative interviews with EU MS policy makers, health administrators & experts

Objective: To collect information on:

- the relevant contextual features for cancer control programs implementation
- the experience of cancer control programs implementation into 6 domains
- the expectations regarding the Roadmap (what are the needs related to the implementation of innovations in cancer control) and from the EU

Overview of challenges and examples of practical solutions in EU MS



WP4: the country visits



What it is not:

- identify/define best practices
- developping guidelines/recommendations
- compare the actions taken in the same field
- no QA / ranking

What it is:

- -> mutual learning (through contact points, e.g. to discuss effectivness/succes of the implementation)
- -> provide examples and contact of those who are/have been in charge of the implementation
- -> focus on innovation/innovative approach and actions that overcame challenges identified by MS



WP4: the country visits



10 countries already visited:

- France (July 2018)
- The Netherlands (September 2018)
- Spain (October 2018)
- Finland (October 2018)
- Norway (November 2018)
- Croatia (November 2018)
- Slovenia (November 2018)
- Danemark (December 2018)
- Malta (January 2018)



WP4: the country visits



3 countries planned

- Luxembourg (February 2019)
- Hungary (February 2019)
- Portugal (May 2019)

4 countries in planning phase

- Belgium
- Slovakia
- Austria
- Greece

4 countries contacted

- Czech Republic
- Poland
- Serbia
- Italy

to be contacted

- Moldavia
- Romania
- Bulgaria
- Lituania
- Estonia
- Latvia
- lerland
- Cyprus



WP4: work process



METHODOLOGY

- → Send invitation and find a date
- → Prepare 'Country Fiche'
- → Send the interview guide (with question for each domain adressed) + find the « right » attendees
- → 3-5 hours interview
- → Report and summary prepared by the WP4 team and send back to the interviewees for validation
- → Extraction of issues adressed (emphasis on: innovative actions, solutions to challenge, ...)
 - = Presentation & discussion of the Country visit report at the 3th Governmental Board
- → Have a 'second' interview: discuss the chosen topics in detail with experts



WP4 Country visits



- Our experience
- Confirmation of need to organize visits instead of survey (especially for reliable information)
- Willingness to share
- Contextual & detailed information

Your experience & expectations?



WP 5-10



ROADMAP will gather information from 3 sources:

1. from the country visits (+desk research)

2. from the WP 5 \rightarrow 10 work

3. Other JAs: JARC, InFact, EuNetha, JA Health inequalities, ...





Objectives and target groups

- -> to support MS in the implementation of cancer control (innovative) measures
- -> advisors and administrators (policy-makers), but also advocacy groups
- -> providing examples of how have MS implemented cancer control actions





Example of actions

We've identified three type of actions:

- -> already implemented actions
- -> plan for implementation of actions
- -> expected actions





Content of the Roadmap

Preliminary list of issues addressed during the visits and which could be included in the Roadmap (selected from the 9 first visits)





- Content and format
- -> contextual features of visited countries
- -> description of the implementation of actions main added value compared to what could be found when using "classic" search tools (e.g. google)
- -> reasons to choose to implement (link to e.g. CanCon/ EPAAC/ECAC) (underlying reasons)



ROADMAP will gather information from 3 sources:

- 1. from the country visits (+desk research)
- 2. from the WP 5 \rightarrow 10 work

3. Other JAs: JARC, InFact, EuNetha, JA Health inequalities, ...



Sustainability



The RM is:

- an infrastructure that could be improved/extended/filled in

- repository of descriptions of (practical) examples of cancer control action implementation

with their underlying/related rational (background documentation)



WP4 Team



Régine Kiasuwa Mbengi

Regine.kiasuwambengi@sciensano.be

+32479392658

Laure Bakker

Laure.bakker@sciensano.be

Marc van den Bulcke

Marc.vandenbulcke@sciensano.be

Tadek Kryzwania (financial and administrative support)

Tadek.krzywania@sciensano.be

