



# iPAAC Contest of Best Practices tackling social inequalities in cancer prevention APPLICATION FORM

### A. Checklist

Please check that your practice meets the compulsory criteria by answering the following questions.

1. Does the practice fall under any of the following recommendations? Please indicate all relevant:

**European Code Against Cancer (please see Annex 1 to the Submitter's Guide)** 

Please specify under which heading(s) – from 1 to 12:

Council recommendation(s) on cancer screening (Annex 2 to the Submitter's Guide) for:

Pap smear screening for cervical cancer precursors

Mammography screening for breast cancer

Faecal occult blood screening for colorectal cancer

Please indicate which specific recommendation(s) your practice is in line with, e.g. 1(a), 2 (b):

No (the practice is therefore excluded and cannot be accepted for evaluation)

2. According to "CanCon Policy Paper on tackling social inequalities in cancer prevention and control for the European population" (refer to Annex 3 to the Submitter's Guide), does your practice aim to reduce social inequalities in cancer prevention?

Yes (further information will be requested later in the form)

No (the practice is therefore excluded and cannot be accepted for evaluation)

3. Has the practice shown to be effective in tackling social inequalities in cancer prevention?

Yes (further information will be requested later in the form)

No (the practice is therefore excluded and cannot be accepted for evaluation)





# **B.** General information

Please answer the following questions within the word limits and choose the relevant option(s) in each case.

1. Please summarise the type of practice you have been involved in (max. 200 words):  Please briefly describe the kind of practice and its main characteristics. Was it held within a health service setting, or independently from healthcare services? Was it an intervention on general population or a specific population group? Or was it about a novel change on organisational/managerial models?
2. General details about the practice
Title of the practice:
Leadford and a Mark annual of a Mark
Institution(s) that promote(s) it:
City/municipal/locality:
Department/province/state:
Country:
3. Person in charge
Full name:
Institution:
Position:
E-mail:
Telephone number:





4. Contact person (if diffe	rent from person in charge)	
Full name:		
Institution:		
Position:		
E-mail:		
Telephone number:		
5. Keywords (minimum 5)		
6. Duration of the practice		
Start date (MM/YYYY):	End date (MM/YYYY):	Expected end date if the practice is ongoing (MM/YYYY)
7. What is the geographic	al scope of the practice?	
International (specify	/):	
European (specify):		
National (specify):		
Regional (specify):		
Local (specify):		
8. How was the practice f	unded?	
External resources -	- public (specify):	
External resources -	- private (specify):	
Own resources		
Other (specify):		

I declare that the economic operator(s) of the practice has (have) no conflict of interest





# 9. Which population group(s) are prioritized in this practice? Mark all that apply.

Gende	er:	Cultu	ral/ethnic group:	Educa	ational level:
	Women		Ethnicity/Cultural background		Primary education
	Men		Migrants		Secondary education
	Transgender women		Country of origin		University education
	Transgender men		Other (specify):		Post-graduate education
	Other (specify):		Not applicable		Other (specify):
	Not applicable	Geog	raphical area:		Not applicable
Socio	economic level:		Rural setting	Espec	cially vulnerable groups
	Low		Urban setting		Disability (functional diversity)
	Medium		Particularly deprived areas		Incarcerated population
	High		Other (specify):		Sexual diversity groups
	Other (specify):		Not applicable		Other (specify):
	Not applicable	Age ra	ange:		Not applicable
			Specify:		
			Not applicable		





# C. Description of the practice

When answering the following questions, please remind it is important to reflect the social equity perspective in all steps.

#### 1. Why did we do it? (200 words)

Please outline the reasons for the development of the practice and describe social or gender inequalities concerning the situation, problem or need that motivated the practice. Please detail how the practice builds upon or is influenced by existing scientific evidence, conceptual frameworks and/or theoretical approaches.

#### 2. What did we look for? (100 words)

What did you want to change by developing the practice? Please describe the action general and specific objectives.

#### 3. How did we do it? (300 words)

Please explain, in 300 words or less, the specific steps that were implemented, emphasizing particular actions deployed to tackle the identified inequalities.





3. HOW ard WE do it: (Continuation	3.	How did	we o	do it?	(continuation	1
------------------------------------	----	---------	------	--------	---------------	---

4. What was the target population? (100 words)

# 5. With whom did we do it? (300 words)

Key actor(s) involved and their contributions to the action development. Please highlight participation mechanisms involving individuals/stakeholders concerned.





### 6. Has the practice been assessed or evaluated?

No

Yes, by an external partner (specify):	
Yes, the evaluation was carried out internally.	

#### 7. Please briefly describe the evaluation methodology (200 words)

Please describe the indicators (quantitative and/or qualitative) developed to monitor the practice and explain how the evaluation was carried out. It is strongly recommended to attach to this form a document describing the evaluation process in more detail.

#### 8. What have we achieved? (300 words)

The most important **quantitative and/or qualitative** obtained results. Please clearly and precisely summarize the main outcomes regarding achieved improvements, impact and/or eventual negative effects. **It is mandatory to attach a document describing the main outcomes** in order to prove the practice effectiveness.





9. How did we sustain it? (200 words)  Please describe how sustainability was achieved in economic terms, in capacity building and leadership, and please outline institutional mechanisms that contribute to achieving gender equality and/or social equity.
10. Has the practice been applied in another context? (200 words)
Yes
No
If yes, please indicate new settings and implementation strategies, barriers found and facilitators:
11. What are the ethical principles underpinning the practice? (100 words)





## D. Self-assessment

Please complete the following self-evaluation chart:

Please rate from 0 to 10.

Basic criteria G

Gender perspective

Efficiency

**Ethics** 

Sustainability

Inter-sectorial collaboration

Transferability

Innovation

Evidence and/or theory based

Public engagement

#### By accepting the following statement, you give your consent to the processing of your personal data:

I consent to the processing (collection and further processing, including publishing) of my personal data (name, surname, job position, e-mail address, institution, country, telephone number, website of the project/practice) for the purposes of managing the submission and subsequent evaluation of my submitted best practice (s). Submission of the data is made on a voluntary basis and consent can be withdrawn at any time, without any consequences. Data are collected according to the Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000.

I certify, understand and agree that the provided information is correct and may be published on iPAAC website.

Deadline for submission: 10 August 2019

Please send this registration form to <u>ipaac-bp@gva.es</u>.

For further information please refer to <a href="www.ipaac.eu">www.ipaac.eu</a> or email <a href="mailto:ipaac-bp@gva.es">ipaac-bp@gva.es</a>.